UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,792	08/25/2006	Wayne H. Rothschild	47079-00295USPX	1114	
70243 NIXON PEABO	7590 06/22/200 ODY LLP	9	EXAMINER		
300 S. Riverside	e Plaza	MOSSER, ROBERT E			
-	16th Floor CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/590,792	ROTHSCHILD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBERT MOSSER	3714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13,21-26 and 35-42</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13,21-26 and 35-42</u> is/are reject	ed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s) 1) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/13/06; 1/15/08</u> .	6)					

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement(s) entered 10/13/2006 and 1/15/2008 have been considered. A copy of the cited statement(s) including the notation indicating its respective consideration is attached for the Applicant's records.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-13, 21-23, 25-26, and 35-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Luciano Jr. (USP 6,811,486).

Claims **1-2**, **10**, **22**, **35**, and **39**: Luciano teaches a method and apparatus for conducting a wagering game including:

receiving wagers/bets from a player for each play of a game;

randomly determining a first game outcome on a first machine;

providing the player a game asset if the first outcome matches a predetermined outcome wherein the game asset alters a second game outcome;

Application/Control Number: 10/590,792

Art Unit: 3714

randomly determining a second game outcome on the first machine or a second machine;

Page 3

receiving the game asset from the player and modifying the game outcome responsive to the receipt of the game asset; and

awarding the player for winning game outcomes (*Luciano* Abstract Col 1:23-2:14, 5:1-15, 5: 56-6:44; 8:8-29 Figure 5, 7, 8).

Claims **4** and **23**: Luciano teaches the transfer of game assets using ticket based embodiments (*Luciano* Figure 3 Col 7:9-21).

Claim **6:** Luciano teaches the transfer of game assets using player IDs and player ID readers (*Luciano* Col 8:58-9:18 10:44-58).

Claims **7-9**, **36-38**, and **40-41**: Luciano further teaches that the game asset may have various effects including: the designation of a wild symbol; transposition or respinning of game symbols; the addition of paylines; altering the probability and size of the award (changing paytable); providing the player with a predetermined game segment or bonus game; payline multipliers, and adding value to a bonus game outcome through the altering of bonus game pay tables (*Luciano* Figure 5 Col 8:30-47).

Application/Control Number: 10/590,792 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Claims **10-13:** Luciano teaches that the player may receive a plurality of game assets and redeem a portion or all of their game assets as desired (*Luciano* Figures 7-8; Col 8:12-23; 12:20-13:9).

Claims **21**, **25-26**, and **42**: Luciano teaches the use of a plurality of game levels including the incorporation of bonus games and assets that provide instant bonus game plays and wherein further the base game and bonus games are understood to have respective prizes associated therewith(*Luciano* Col 8:30-47, 9:19-47),

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 5

Claims **5** and **24** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luciano Jr. (USP 6,811,486) as applied to at least claims 1, and 21 above, and further in view of Baltz et al (US 6,852,029).

Luciano teaches the invention as taught above including the incorporation of a bill validator and ticket reader (*Luciano* Elm 106, 204 Col 5:56-6:26), however Lucian does not explicitly teach merging of the bill validator and ticket reader into a singular device. In a related invention Baltz teaches a device for use with electronic gaming machines adapted to provide a bill validator and ticket reader in a singular device such that the bill validator is operable to read printed tickets (*Baltz* Elm 316). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have incorporated the bill validator and ticket reader as a singular device such as taught by Baltz into the invention of Luciano in order to remove the added cost of incorporating two separate readers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT MOSSER whose telephone number is (571)272-4451. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30 Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,792 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dmitry Suhol can be reached on (571) 272-4430. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dmitry Suhol/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/R. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714